FROM : LAW OFFICE ase 3:07-cv-01140-MHPAX NOOCLUMS \$154231 Filed 05/17/2007 17 P2000 20140-MHPAX NOOCLUMS \$1500 \$1500 \$17/2007 17 P2000 20140-MHPAX NOOCLUMS \$1500 \$1500 \$1500 \$17/2007

The parties have discussed possible service providers and mediators, but have not yet 1 agreed upon the designation of such at this time. The parties will notify the Court upon reaching 2 3 an agreement regarding the designation of a service provider/mediator. Respectfully submitted. 5 б LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN M. MURPHY 7 Dated: May (0), 2007 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
CHRISTINE DOUGHERTY 9 10 LEMS BRISBOR BISGAARD & SMITH LLP LAW OFFICES OF DAVID M. PORTER 11 12 Dated: May 10, 2007 . 13 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff CHRISTINE DOUGHERTY 15 16 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 17 18 Dated: May / 22007 By: 19 STEPHEN J. LIBERATORE Attorneys for Defendant 20 AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED: 23 Dated: May ___, 2007 By: WAYNE D. BRAZIL, Magistrate Judge 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Northern District of California 25 26 27

4844-7852-2881.1

28

2

STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS AND ADR CERTIFICATION

Case 3:07-cv-01140-MHP

Document 4

Filed 05/17/2007

Page 3 of 5

To-19169246909 May-17-2007 03:22pm

- May 10 07 08:30p

FROM : LAW OFFICE

From-LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

T-733 P 029 May. Ir zoor ot. 40mm

FAX NO. :4159861231

[415] 897-1101

FROM : LAW OFFICE May-09-2007 04:18#8 To-Mistis

ĭ

2

3

4

б 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

Leng Brigge Beorand & Suth up

Che sample freet, same you say the your series of t

FAX ND. :4159861231 From-LEVIS BRISBOIS BISCAMED & SHITH LEP

TTAy. 10 2007 06:55PM Pi T-916 P.808/008 P-088

Signature and Certification by Parties and Lead Trial Counsel

Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 16 and ADR L.R. 3-5(b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has road either the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Processes in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR Unit's Internet site. www.adr.cand.uscounts.gov, and discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the

court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit from my of them.

Dated: May __ 2007

Dated; May ______2007

Plaintiff

LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN M. MURPHY

STEPHEN M. MURPH Automoys for Plaintiff

CHRISTINE DOUGHERTY

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID M. PORTER

By:

DAVID M. PORTER Attorneys for Plaintiff CHRISTING DOUGHERTY

, 200*7*

A. Marc Mortain Litigation Consultant

Mr. an

Dared: May_

David: May_, 2007

AMCO Insurance Company

22

23

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

24

25 Dated: May 17, 2007

By:

KILIAN J. PARDUY STEPHEN J. LIBERATORE

Attorneys for Defendant AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY

26

AND ADDRESS ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRES

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Christine Dougherty vs. AMCO Insurance Co., et al. San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC 07 459877

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is One Sansome Street, 14th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104.

On May 17, 2007, I served the following document described as:

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

on all interested parties in this action by placing [X] a true copy [] the original thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

- (BY FACSIMILE) The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3) and no error []was reported by the machine. Pursuant to Rule 2008(e)(4), I caused the machine to print a record of the transmission.
- (BY MAIL, 1013a, 2015.5 C.C.P.) X

I deposited such envelope in the mail at San Francisco, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, this document will be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on this date with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Francisco, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

(BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY/COURIER) []

- I delivered such envelope to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents in an envelope or package designated by the express service carrier with delivery fees provided for.
- ſΊ I deposited such envelope in a box or facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier in an envelope or package designated by the express service carrier with delivery fees provided for.
- (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that [X]the above is true and correct.
- (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this [X]Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on May 17, 2007, at San Francisco, California.



SERVICE LIST

Law Offices of Stephen M. Murphy Stephen M. Murphy, Esq. 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 940 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: 415/ 986-1338 Fax: 415/986-1231 Law Offices of David M. Porter David M. Porter, Esq. 101 California Street, Suite 2050 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: 415/982-8600 Fax: 415/391-9515

Attorneys for Plaintiff, CHRISTINE DOUGHERTY

Attorneys for Plaintiff, CHRISTINE DOUGHERTY

4830-1299-4561.1